



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/015,703	12/17/2001	Mark A. Kirkpatrick	BS01-171	6387		
7590 04/06/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
WITHERS & KEYS LLC			CUFF, MICHAEL A			
P O BOX 71355 MARIETTA, GA	A 30007-1355		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3627	3627		

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/015,703	1	KIRKPATRICK, MARK A.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Michael Cut	1	3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	ctoher 2005						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· <u> </u>	sposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.							
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election red	juirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5	I) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal Pa) Other:	te	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall et al. in view of Pong et al.

Hall et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying including the make and model of vehicle on the order for customer identification.

Hall et al. shows a method and apparatus for processing orders from customers in a mobile environment. A Mobile Customer Premises Equipment (MCPE) 105 (transmitter, PDA) is used to communicate with the supplier (receiver of the order). From column 2, lines 51-52, "the order includes customer identifying information". Figure 6 goes through the ordering process (codes included).

The examiner considering that some form of a menu is inherent prior to ordering, but if not inherent the examiner takes Official Notice that it is obvious to provide a menu in order to facilitate communication.

Pong et al. teaches, figure 1, an automatic refueling station where (column 6, lines 38-44) make and model are used as identifying information for the vehicle and a

Art Unit: 3627

customer account number is used to identify the customer. This information is used to better serve the customer in a POS environment.

Based on the teaching of Pong et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the Hall et al. system to include the customer's vehicle make and model as part of the customer identifying information in order to better serve the customer in a POS environment.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/17/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the examiner has taken the position that Pong teaches the make and model **on the order**. The examiner does not concur. Reading the rejection carefully, one sees that Hall shows "the order includes customer identifying information". The examiner is considering that the customer identifying information is **on the order**. Pong merely shows that the make and model of a vehicle can be used as customer identifying information in a POS environment. Therefore, in order to better serve the customer, it would have been obvious to add more identifying information, such as make and model, on the order of Hall.

Applicant has not properly traversed the examiner's Official Notice per MPEP 2144.03. "To adequately traverse such a finding, an applicant must specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner's action, which would include stating why the noticed fact is not considered to be common knowledge or well-known in the art. See 37

CFR 1.111(b). However, since it was a simple search, the examiner has provided the first 4 hits all of which show the extremely common if not inherent feature that there is some form of a menu on a PDA in a mobile ordering environment. The references are Kohno et al., Wall et al., Phillips et al., and Smith, II et al.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3627

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cuff April 3, 2006